1	
2	
3	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD
4	
5	FORT MCCLELLAN, ALABAMA
6	
7	
8	**********
9	
L O	
L1	Taken before DEBORAH L.
L2	BANKS, a Court Reporter and
L3	Commissioner for Alabama at Large,
L 4	at Building 215, Fort McClellan,
L5	Alabama, on the 21st day of October
L6	2003, commencing at approximately
L7	6:30 p.m.
L8	
L9	
20	Deborah L. Banks, Court Reporter
21	399 Rainbow Haven
22	Rainbow City, Alabama 35906
23	256 442-6005

1	I N D E X
2	
3	
4	Page
5	CAPTION SHEET 1
6	
7	REPORTER'S INDEX
8	
9	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD 3-86
10	
11	CERTIFICATE87-88
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	DR. STEFFY: I'm sitting in
2	for Monty Clendenin who has a family
3	emergency, I guess. I'm David
4	Steffy the new vice-chair. I
5	already rose to power.
6	I'd like to call roll call.
7	Monty's absent. Gary Harvey?
8	MR. HARVEY: Present.
9	DR. STEFFY: Mr. James
10	Buford?
11	MR. BUFORD: Here.
12	DR. STEFFY: Pete Conroy.
13	(No response.)
14	DR. STEFFY: Not here.
15	Jerome Elser?
16	(No response.)
17	DR. STEFFY: Curtis Franklin.
18	MR. FRANKLIN: Here.
19	DR. STEFFY: Mr. Joe McCary?
20	MR. MCCARY: McCary. Here.
21	DR. STEFFY: Mr. Craig
22	Branchfield.
23	(No response.)

1	DR. STEFFY: Ms. Cheryl
2	Bragg?
3	MS. BRAGG: Here.
4	DR. STEFFY: Mr. Dwight
5	Mitchell?
6	MR. MITCHELL: Here.
7	DR. STEFFY: Mr. Jim Miller?
8	(No response.)
9	DR. STEFFY: Mr. Ron Grant.
10	MR. GRANT: Here.
11	DR. STEFFY: Ron Levy?
12	MR. LEVY: Here.
13	DR. STEFFY: Mr. Doyle
14	Brittain?
15	(No response.)
16	DR. STEFFY: And Mr. Phillip
17	Stroud?
18	MR. STROUD: Here.
19	(Mr. Miller enters the
20	meeting.)
21	DR. STEFFY: And at this
22	time, I would like to invite the
23	guests that are present at this

	1	meeting to introduce themselves.
	2	Nice and clearly so she can record
	3	your name and who you're
	4	representing.
	5	MR. DAFFRON: I'm Bob Daffron
	6	with the Alabama National Guard
	7	Training Center.
	8	MR. BASS: I'm Louis Bass.
	9	I'm a homeowner here on Fort
1	0	McClellan.
1	1	THE COURT REPORTER: And your
1	2	last name is?
1	3	MR. BASS: Bass. B-a-s-s.
1	4	MR. BOLTON: Scott Bolton,
1	5	Transition Force.
1	6	THE COURT REPORTER: Scott?
1	7	MR. BOLTON: Bolton.
1	8	B-o-l-t-o-n.
1	9	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Brenda
2	0	Cunningham, Transition Force.
2	1	MR. SHANKS: Bill Shanks,
2	2	Transition Force Environmental
2	3	Office.

1	MR. BIGGS: Todd Biggs
2	(inaudible).
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse
4	me?
5	MR. BIGGS: Biggs.
6	B-i-g-g-s.
7	THE COURT REPORTER: What was
8	the first name?
9	MR. BIGGS: Todd.
10	MR. COPELAND: Dan Copeland,
11	Corps of Engineers, Huntsville.
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse
13	me?
14	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Huntsville.
15	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm
16	sorry. I can't hear you.
17	MR. COPELAND: Dan Copeland,
18	Corps of Engineers, Huntsville.
19	MS. HOLSTEIN: Lisa Holstein,
20	Transition Force.
21	MS. PINSON: Karen Pinson,
22	Transition Force.
23	MR. DOYLE: Joseph Doyle,

1	Transition Force.
2	MS. CLEMENCE: Sara Clemence,
3	Anniston Star.
4	DR. STEFFY: Anybody else?
5	(No response.)
6	DR. STEFFY: Do we have a
7	quorum?
8	MS. CUNNINGHAM: No.
9	DR. STEFFY: So we cannot
10	approve the people who are
11	officially excused are Donna Fathke,
12	Mayor Kimbrough, Monty Clendenin,
13	Barry Cox, Mary Harrington. And we
14	do not have a quorum, so the minutes
15	cannot be approved. Am I right?
16	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Right.
17	DR. STEFFY: But please look
18	over the minutes anyway. They
19	should be in your packets if you
20	have not done that.
21	And now I'd like to invite Gary
22	Harvey of the Transition Force to
23	address the status of the work.

1	MR. HARVEY: You have a
2	briefing slot in your packet there.
3	Sara, do you have one? What if I
4	just start it off? I'm Gary Harvey.
5	I'm not new here. I'm new to the
6	RAB meetings. I'm the site manager
7	here now before the transition
8	coordinator and the operations
9	officer before that even began here.
10	What I thought I would do is give
11	you a quick update on where we are
12	at this time. I know you haven't
13	seen this particular chart for a
14	couple of three years as a matter of
15	fact. This is what we call the
16	property. All the green is
17	transferred property. Transferred
18	via deed. All gone. I didn't know
19	if you knew this much was gone. I
20	didn't say environmentally cleaned
21	up, but this is all gone. The white
22	spots you see here is Jim Miller's
23	property. It's twenty-six acres for

1	the pumping benefit conveyance for
2	the water sewer board. And this, of
3	course, is the Eastern By-pass right
4	here. And I'll say more about them
5	in just a moment. But I know most
6	of you don't know that this much of
7	Ft. McClellan is gone. Via deed.
8	Next slide, please, Brenda.
9	Here is civilian personnel, and you
10	can see we're almost gone to. We
11	started out in '00 with eighty-eight
12	people. With the reductions over
13	the years, we're down to twenty.
14	And by the end of this year now,
15	'05 starts for us next 1 October.
16	So we're talking fiscal years with
17	the army. We will be down to eight
18	people. And how long those eight
19	last is as good a guess as you can
20	make. It may or may not be right.
21	I have no idea when the final date
22	will go.
23	Next slide, please. Can you

1	see this over here? Okay. Let me
2	explain these charts first and we'll
3	go up to a lot of the same.
4	Projected means when Fort McClellan
5	closed and whatever plans went into
6	effect, whatever RC Enclaves were
7	approved or PBCs, that's projected.
8	Actual means we have transferred
9	that land or those facilities to
10	them. USAR you see there for
11	example, they were projected at
12	eighteen point five eight acres and
13	seventy-four thousand square feet of
14	facility and four buildings. And
15	you can see over in the actual,
16	those numbers matched. That is
17	done. The national guard is
18	essentially done. Ron Levy is
19	doing some site investigative type
20	work in with the BCP thing. And
21	whenever that agreement has been
22	fulfilled, we'll transfer that land.
23	But the national guard is operating

1	and maintaining and spending money
2	on those facilities right now. So
3	it's just a matter of them signing a
4	1354 and taking control of the
5	property.
6	Fed to Fed transfers. We had
7	Fed to Fed transfers to the credit
8	union; Department of Justice, which
9	is now Homeland Security; Health and
10	Human Services; Noble Army Training
11	Center; and Fish and Wildlife. You
12	see projected and actual. We are
13	done with those Fed to Fed
14	transfers.
15	Next slide, please. PBC is
16	public benefit conveyances. You see
17	all these with the fire station, the
18	water and sewer board. We're not
19	showing your additional twenty-six
20	acres, Jim, but we will. You're
21	going to get it. If you look at the
22	fire station, we still owe them half
23	an acre. That's the building over

1	here, Building 228. In fact, we've
2	had the deed for that ready for two
3	months waiting on the city to sign
4	the deed. So maybe they'll catch up
5	here pretty quick. Anniston Parks
6	and Rec. The recreation facilities,
7	the golf course. That's all gone.
8	The golf course came out of the
9	Eastern By-pass as you can see.
10	We're projecting giving six and
11	seven acres. We've only given two
12	seventy-one right now. That's this
13	bottom part right down here that's
14	green. It comes from the
15	intersection. It comes up here to
16	around Yahoo Lake. As you can see,
17	those are all done.
18	Next slide, please. Other
19	transfers, we transferred the
20	telecommunications, electric, and
21	gas, and those were primarily
22	easements, although, there was one
23	building with the

1	terecommunications. And those are
2	all completed.
3	Next slide, please.
4	Transfers to the JPA, the joint
5	powers authority, show here thirteen
6	deeds totaling eight hundred and
7	sixty-eight facilities, four point
8	seven million square feet and
9	ninety-seven hundred and five acres.
10	And that is done.
11	Next slide, please. Eastern
12	By-pass. We're showing another side
13	separately. We have three tracts.
14	We had to work this way because of
15	environmental reasons. Tract One,
16	which is lower part here,
17	(indicating) was always clean after
18	we finished our initial
19	investigation. We transferred that
20	29 June. I'm sure you all saw that
21	there, all the trees taken out and
22	the grass put in.
23	Tract Two, right here, the

1	Flying J and Summerall Road. That
2	deed has been sent down to ALDOT
3	since early September, a draft deed,
4	just waiting for them to accept it.
5	And we did have an article in the
6	paper about ALDOT going in there and
7	cleaning again after we did. And
8	they didn't find anything but what
9	we found. So hopefully, they'll
10	sign that soon.
11	Tract Three is right here.
12	(Indicating.) The UXO clearance has
13	been done and we're waiting for
14	paperwork. We hope we're still on
15	track for February '04 for transfer
16	via deed.
17	It should be the last one,
18	and I'm sure some of these things
19	you haven't seen before. We do have
20	a couple of other things going on.
21	Now, the early transfer
22	documentation, which I understand
23	Joe and Ron gave y'all probably more

1	than you wanted briefing on detail
2	of that. We will continue the RAB
3	for another year with the army in
4	the lead. I don't know what will
5	happen next year with phase two. I
6	would expect that maybe the JPA
7	would take that over and then we
8	would input. Don't know. That's
9	all subject to negotiation. But for
10	this year, for sure, we are
11	continuing the RAB just like it is.
12	And hopefully JPA will input what
13	they're going to do with the phase
14	one cleanup. And we'll try to get
15	them to start making presentations
16	to you.
17	Any questions?
18	(No response.)
19	It's quick and dirty, but
20	it's all gone. We need to brief you
21	real quick before we're all gone.
22	Thank you.
23	DR. STEFFY: Any questions?

1	Okay. Thank you.
2	New business. We have two
3	vacancies on the RAB and four
4	applicants now for those two
5	vacancies. And listed in your
6	packet, you will see applications.
7	And since we don't have a quorum,
8	we cannot vote on the applications.
9	We have to wait until we get a
10	quorum to vote on that. At least
11	you have it in front of you and
12	maybe you can review before the next
13	meeting. There is a ballot within
14	your packet but again, that's a moot
15	point. So there are four
16	applicants. Did I do that right?
17	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
18	DR. STEFFY: Only voting
19	members can vote on applicants and
20	we don't have a quorum.
21	DR. STEFFY: And now for our
22	reports. ADEM and EPA.
23	MR. STROUD: I did hear from

1	Doyle, and he said couldn't make it
2	today. I don't see his report here.
3	Has he sent it to you?
4	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I haven't
5	heard from him. The last time I
6	checked my mail was five thirty.
7	MR. STROUD: All right. Well,
8	as promised, we're moving ahead very
9	quickly on several reports and
10	comments and concurrences and
11	I'll pass these this way and this
12	way. And we're continuing with
13	these reviews. We're also having
14	several reports coming in as you can
15	look on the back, whatever you call
16	the back here, but that's the ADEM
17	activity report to the Restoration
18	Advisory Board. Let's see. These
19	are documents received, and as you
20	can see, they are still coming in
21	and we're to respond to these. As a
22	matter of fact, we are well on our
23	way to responding to these already

1	also. If you have any questions on
2	these, feel free to talk to me about
3	it.
4	Just want to make a note that
5	we are staffing up for ADEM, looking
6	at new employees coming in to
7	prepare for the next, I guess,
8	period, we're going to be going
9	through. Since we've gone through
LO	privatization, I understand we need
11	to beef up a little bit. So ADEM is
12	looking at new employees coming in.
L3	That's all.
L 4	DR. STEFFY: Any questions?
L5	(No response.)
16	DR. STEFFY: Okay. The JPA
L7	report? They are absent. TRC
18	report? Not here?
19	MR. LEVY: The TRC was
20	supposed to have met, but it never
21	occurred. Something needs to be
22	brought up here. Again
23	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm not

1	getting that. Are you just talking
2	to him?
3	MR. LEVY: The TRC didn't
4	meet. It needs to occur. There was
5	some discussion about finding how
6	they were going to divi up some
7	reviews on documents. But as far as
8	I know, there has not been any
9	agreement on that.
10	DR. STEFFY: Are there
11	actually members of the TRC?
12	MR. LEVY: Yes. They are
13	defined.
14	DR. STEFFY: They know who
15	they are. Okay. Is there a
16	chairman of the TRC?
17	MR. LEVY: Right off the top
18	of my head, I don't
19	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Barry Cox is
20	the chairman and Pete Conroy and
21	Craig Branchfield are on it with
22	them. But they're not here tonight.
23	MR. HARVEY: So they're

1	supposed to meet once a month or
2	what? Do you know?
3	MS. CUNNINGHAM: They meet
4	amongst themselves. I mean, they
5	don't have a special meeting.
6	MR. HARVEY: Okay.
7	MR. MCCRARY: What is the
8	TRC?
9	MR. LEVY: Technical Review
10	Committee. It was set up to help
11	the RAB review technical documents.
12	They were meant to be the persons
13	that had the most knowledge and
14	understanding of technical
15	background, so as documents came
16	out, they could review and provide
17	input. They were chosen based on
18	that. Part of the issues have been
19	we've got a TAPP contract, and we've
20	got a TOSC I wouldn't call it a
21	contract but a TOSC agreement
22	with the EPA group. All technical
23	people that are supposed to provide

1	technical support to this RAB in
2	terms of reviewing documents. But
3	what we've not seen is direction
4	from the RAB to these individuals.
5	This does not come from the army.
6	It's not the army's role. The RAB
7	itself, the RAB members, that have
8	to direct them as to what work they
9	want to be done in terms of the
10	documents. And there was the
11	planned meeting. I don't think
12	anything came out of that that I'm
13	aware of. Right now, what we've
14	really got is Ron Grant who is the
15	TAPP really just for the most part
16	attending meetings, and that's about
17	it. He's not been reviewing any
18	documents.
19	DR. STEFFY: Is there a stack
20	of documents to reviewed?
21	MR. LEVY: There are
22	documents in the repository and
23	there are documents out there that

1	we have provided to the TRC in the
2	past.
3	DR. STEFFY: Have they been
4	identified by the RAB as wanting to
5	be reviewed?
6	MR. LEVY: Again, that's a
7	RAB decision. If you look at the
8	agreement that was done for the
9	TOSC, there is some definition of
10	what they wanted to review and look
11	at. Some of them had to do with
12	sites. But we have not gotten any
13	movement. And from the army's side,
14	we're prepared to support that. But
15	the RAB's got to come together and
16	tell us what it is they want to give
17	to them for review purposes.
18	DR. STEFFY: So we need to
19	get the TRC motivated?
20	MR. MCCARY: Good word.
21	DR. STEFFY: None of the
22	members are here, though.
23	MR HARVEY: I think you're

1	probably right. They need to be
2	motivated.
3	MR. DOYLE: I don't recall,
4	maybe Brenda can pipe in on this,
5	if ther was a vote by the full RAB.
6	I'd have to go back and check the
7	minutes. But I don't recall, do
8	you?
9	MS. CUNNINGHAM: It was a
10	ways back when June was still here.
11	It was a long time ago. Yes, I
12	think they did.
13	MR. DOYLE: Was there a vote?
14	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I think they
15	volunteered.
16	MR. DOYLE: Or was it by
17	direction of the co-chair.
18	THE COURT REPORTER: If
19	everybody is going to talk, they're
20	going to have to tell me who they
21	are before they say anything.
22	MS. CUNNINGHAM: That's Joe
23	Doyle.

1	MR. DOYLE: We can give you
2	that information, it would be easy
3	if you have that authority. I mean,
4	I think you have that authority.
5	But I don't have any recollection,
6	maybe some of the other RAB members
7	do, as to how that review committee
8	was set up, if it was done by a vote
9	of the full RAB or if it was just
LO	done by the direction of the
11	chairman with his inherent authority
12	under the bylaws to set up
13	committees. I just don't recall at
L 4	this point it's been so long ago.
L5	MR. LEVY: Seems to me there
16	was the some movement either at the
L7	last RAB meeting or the one before
18	that where they were going to
L9	discuss some review and then we
20	never heard anything more.
21	MS. CUNNINGHAM: We may have
22	to wait and speak with them.
23	DR. STEFFY: We can't do

1	anything without any of the members
2	here. Can we make it a point to get
3	this idea to Monty that the TRC
4	needs to be motivated.
5	MR. GRANT: If they had a
6	meeting, they haven't made me aware
7	of it. According to the terms of my
8	contract, I'm supposed to attend the
9	meetings.
10	DR. STEFFY: When was the
11	last meeting you attended?
12	MR. GRANT: Haven't ever had
13	one.
14	DR. STEFFY: Never had one.
15	It seems to be a committee at a
16	standstill there. Any other
17	comments about the TRC?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. STEFFY: Action summary
20	sheet.
21	MR. LEVY: That's me. The
22	intent of the action summary sheet
23	is to give you a snapshot of what's

1	going on from to month to month in
2	terms of activities that are
3	occurring in the field with the army
4	on the cleanup side. We send it out
5	electronically to members so that
6	you can read ahead before coming.
7	My intent is not to go into great
8	detail over it, just to kind of
9	cover it lightly. But to move
10	through it and take any questions
11	as to what's going on.
12	First, I'll just say that the
13	work has slowed down considerably
14	since the spring given the hand over
15	of the phase one cleanup to the JPA.
16	And the JPA who are in the midst of
17	trying to staff up and put their
18	program management team together is
19	kind of in a transition period too
20	trying to get to the field to do
21	some of the work that was defined in
22	the technical services agreement.
23	But what you see in front of

1	you is kind of a snapshot of where
2	we are. As it relates to landfill
3	three and the groundwater situation
4	there, for the most part, the army
5	has completed all its fieldwork in
6	terms of groundwater monitoring. We
7	just finished our last sampling of
8	that and the results are not in.
9	Those will be presented to the RAB
10	at some point in the future. Under
11	the terms of the agreement, the JPA
12	is responsible for future
13	groundwater monitoring requirements
14	as part of the remedy.
15	In the OE or explosives and
16	ordnance side of the house, relating
17	to the Alpha area, the Alpha area
18	has also gone the way of being
19	transferred over for work to the
20	JPA. To this point, there is still
21	come correspondence going back and
22	forth between the army and ADEM as
23	it relates to Alpha. But the work

1	now, which involves some
2	characterization and removal action,
3	this has all been part of, it's all
4	part of the cooperative agreement
5	that's now before the JPA.
6	In the Bravo area, the one
7	action that we are working on in
8	terms of the removal is the Y area.
9	Do you know where the Y area is?
10	It's directly north of the Eastern
11	By-pass. This green area in here.
12	(Indicating.) It's in there. And
13	the reason it's being done as an
14	integral removal is because the JPA
15	is concerned of inability to develop
16	that area up there in the north,
17	i.e., the housing area, without
18	removal of the ordnance in that
19	area. So the army made an effort to
20	move in there and start and integral
21	removal action.
22	We have finished with the
23	fieldwork out there. We're still

1	going through a QAQC process. So
2	the action is not complete, although
3	for the most part, any ordnances in
4	there should have been removed
5	because of the clearance to depth.
6	As you all know, the Bravo
7	area, look over this map over above
8	Sara's head, that's the Bravo area
9	in orange. All that was part of the
10	early transfer. It has not been
11	negotiated for clearance to go to
12	the JPA yet. We are still involved
13	in the characterization phase on
14	that. Part of that characterization
15	phase is dealing with the JPA
16	excuse me, not the JPA dealing
17	with ADEM on that. We're working
18	through some stuff now. We've not
19	published the document publically
20	yet for the Bravo area. That's
21	still to be published. It's also
22	slated at some point in the future
23	to become part of the cooperative

1	agreement and turned over to the JPA
2	for cleanup. But the way it's
3	probably going to work now is we'll
4	complete the characterization and
5	work through that. We're expecting
6	to send the Bravo area shortly to
7	the regulatory agencies and the JPA
8	for review concurrently. That
9	hasn't occurred yet.
10	In the Charlie area, we have
11	provided the EE/CA to Fish and
12	Wildlife. Until we get to the Fish
13	and Wildlife piece, we've not
14	provided to ADEM yet, but they are
15	just now starting to look at the
16	documents themselves. We'll get
17	through some comments on that and go
18	to ADEM with the document of the
19	following.
20	The M1.01 in the army is
21	really a position that has completed
22	that area. And it's been
23	transferred. The M1.01, let me see

Τ.	if I can't point that out again.
2	That brown area right here.
3	(Indicating.) You'll see it. It
4	was part of the FOSET in the early
5	transfer so it did go to the JPA.
6	We're still working through some
7	issues on the M1.01 with ADEM.
8	We'll continue to work through some
9	of that.
10	For the Eastern By-pass, the
11	fieldwork is complete. We did
12	provide ADEM with the draft removal
13	report. And ADEM came back with
14	some comments and the army just went
15	back to ADEM with some comments,
16	response to those comments, so we're
17	working back and forth with ADEM on
18	the Eastern By-pass. But the
19	fieldwork essentially is all
20	complete for that. We are going to
21	look toward the transfer on that
22	property.
23	For most part, in the

1	landfill EE/CA, the work is
2	essentially done. We will finalize
3	a report.
4	Lisa, what are we calling
5	that report again? A report of
6	MS. HOLSTEIN: Report of
7	findings.
8	MR. LEVY: A report of
9	findings on that. But the work has
10	been only negotiated to go to the
11	JPA less one site in Fish and
12	Wildlife area an one site in the
13	ALDOT area, both of which we're
14	working with ADEM and Fish and
15	Wildlife on.
16	I think Gary gave you an
17	update on essentially the transfers
18	and where FOST and the deeds lie.
19	Those are all listed in your sheets.
20	I don't know if anybody's got any
21	specific questions about that. The
22	significant thing there was the FOST
23	was signed or the CERCLA Covenant

1	was signed on the 30th of September
2	by the governor. That was a real
3	coup for everyone. So that was
4	done. And Gary went through the
5	deeds you see on the transfers.
6	Contractors on the
7	installation are listed. The number
8	of contractors is listed. There are
9	also there is a downward trend
10	going on there based on the
11	workload.
12	And as always, we talked
13	about the safety issues. People
14	walking around back in the
15	restricted where we are still
16	investigating and have not finished
17	clearance of UXO items. We take
18	that seriously.
19	That's all I've got. Anybody
20	have any questions about work that
21	is going on?
22	DR. STEFFY: I have a
23	question about landfill three. When

1	you transferred the property to the
2	JPA, you knew the plume was leaving
3	the site going on Highway 21. Is
4	the JPA now responsible for that
5	plume moving off site?
6	MR. LEVY: The JPA is
7	responsible for completing the
8	remedy for that groundwork.
9	However, there's limitations to what
10	they would be required to do if the
11	plume were to move extensively
12	outside of what we defined in the
13	cooperative agreement. In which
14	case, the army may have what is know
15	as a "retained commission," we'd
16	have to come back and do something
17	about it. I don't know how to
18	explain it in the sense that there
19	is still an army component there if
20	it goes beyond the scope of what was
21	defined in the ESCA. In other
22	words, if there is extensive
23	movement beyond what we defined in

1	the ESCA, the army would have some
2	responsibility in that.
3	DR. STEFFY: If the JPA
4	thinks another well needs to be
5	drilled to help monitor the movement
6	of this plume, it's their
7	responsibility to drill the well and
8	to do environmental monitoring, then
9	come back to you and say, hey, this
10	plume is moving beyond the scope of
11	the agreement, so you come back in
12	and help us out.
13	MR. LEVY: Exactly, that
14	would be the case. And there is
15	moneys involved in the ESCA for that
16	fact if it needed to happen for them
17	to put additional wells in there if
18	needed, if they're needed.
19	MR. MCCARY: That brings up
20	something you had said earlier, by
21	principle basically, anything that
22	were to say show up years down the
23	road that could be traced back to

1	the army's presence, then you know,
2	if the JPA finds this and pulls
3	back, the army is responsible for
4	coming back at some point and doing
5	something about it.
6	MR. LEVY: Simply put, yes.
7	That's true.
8	MR. MCCARY: But it's their
9	responsibility to monitor this.
10	Because, I mean, there's stuff out
11	there that, you know, there's no
12	record of where it was, and it may
13	turn up at some point in the future.
14	MR. LEVY: When we transfer
15	that property that was in the FOSET
16	that was in the early transfer, we
17	transferred without the covenant.
18	But generally, when we transfer the
19	property, there is a covenant in
20	there that says, if you find
21	something that's related to previous
22	army activities, then we would have
23	to come back and clean it up. With

1	the property as it stands now, when
2	they complete the cleanup of what's
3	been negotiated, we then will give
4	them the covenant. And we can do
5	that in pieces as they conduct their
6	cleanup.
7	MR. DOYLE: And the deed is
8	amended to reflect the covenant.
9	The army has not transferred it's
10	liability. What the army has
11	transferred is the responsibility
12	for the cleanup primarily to the
13	JPA. If the JPA was to default on
14	the grants program, the army is
15	still on the hook for the
16	responsibility and the liability for
17	producing the cleanup. But the
18	thought was in this transfer that
19	the JPA made assurances that they
20	would do this quicker, cleaner, and
21	cheaper than the army could. So
22	that's why the army bought into this
23	program and through the grants

1	process gave them X-amount of money
2	and then set up the parameters of
3	the cleanup. So certain assumptions
4	had to be made where
5	characterization was not complete.
6	Now, in many cases,
7	characterization was complete, so
8	via ADEM, we believe we know what
9	the remedy will be and it's just
10	merely executing the remedy and then
11	when the completion of the remedy
12	and the cleanup is done, we will
13	amend the deed to give the covenant.
14	And if there is any further
15	environmental contamination that was
16	not addressed under the terms of
17	that agreement.
18	Now, if they did not do
19	everything in accordance with the
20	agreement and that comes at some
21	later time, that's why there's an
22	insurance component that kicks in
23	that would cover the army's

1	liability to a great extent. And
2	the bonds and surety would come in
3	completing that remediation.
4	MR. HARVEY: And the
5	important part is, which Joe stated,
6	we did not transfer liability. We
7	always had that under the law.
8	MR. MCCARY: So it's
9	essentially the job of the JPA to
10	follow through.
11	MR. HARVEY: Yes.
12	MCCRARY: To make sure
13	MR. HARVEY: Yeah, that we
14	monitor.
15	DR. STEFFY: Any other
16	questions?
17	(No response.)
18	DR. STEFFY: Let's see. The
19	TAPP report.
20	MR. GRANT: I have no
21	documents to review. I have charged
22	four hours for my time.
23	MR. LEVY: And with that,

1	I'll say we started out with five
2	hundred hours available under Ron's
3	contract. There is still a total of
4	three hundred and sixty-six hours
5	that has not been expended yet under
6	his contract. The contract ended in
7	May 2003, but we did extend it a
8	full year to 2004, May of 2004, 14
9	May, 2004, per the RAB's request.
10	DR. STEFFY: Is there a
11	problem carrying over money from one
12	fiscal year to the next?
13	MR. LEVY: As long as it's
14	obligated. Actually, it's
15	(inaudible).
16	THE COURT REPORTER: It's
17	what? I'm sorry. It's actually
18	what?
19	MR. LEVY: (Inaudible) money.
20	Meaning that it really doesn't go
21	away. But we still want to get it
22	obligated. They still track our
23	dollars, and it's possible for them

1	to take it away irom us ir we re not
2	spending it.
3	DR. STEFFY: The TOSC report.
4	I understand Bob Schmitter could not
5	attend. They have nothing to
6	report.
7	RAB work session. I don't
8	what that's about.
9	MR. LEVY: Ken, the RAB work
10	session?
11	MS. PINSON: I don't think
12	that that was something that we
13	were going to let y'all discuss
14	things in the TAPP contract.
15	MR. LEVY: Yeah. It was
16	about the TAPP. It's the issue I
17	brought up earlier.
18	MS. PINSON: What direction
19	you wanted to go with that. You
20	don't have everything here tonight.
21	You want to put that off.
22	MR. HARVEY: So what the plan
23	was is to stimulate conversation on

1	the TRC, you know, getting TAPP work
2	done or what?
3	MR. GRANT: Yes. Yes.
4	MS. CUNNINGHAM: What the RAB
5	members wanted to do. Because they
6	really don't get a chance to talk to
7	each other.
8	DR. STEFFY: Is that supposed
9	to be done tonight? As a working
10	group.
11	MR. LEVY: As a separate
12	group from the RAB. They get
13	together. Really, the intent here
14	was so that they could address the
15	issue of the TAPP and TOSC to see
16	where they were going. But since we
17	don't have a quorum and nobody is
18	here from the TRC, it's kind of
19	difficult to do.
20	MR. MILLER: Let me ask a
21	couple of questions about that
22	because obviously we're not getting
23	a lot done here. We're spending a

1	lot of time, and it's not being very
2	productive. And I don't mean to be
3	critical. It's just a fact. What
4	kind of requirements does the army
5	have concerning this RAB, and what
6	are your obligations as far as the
7	RAB? I mean, obviously, I guess, we
8	are advisory board, and I understand
9	that. But do we have a particular
10	number of meetings that we must
11	fulfill to meet your requirements
12	that the army places on you.
13	Because it seems like to me, we're
14	spending a lot of time doing nothing
15	here.
16	MR. LEVY: No. Only the
17	requirements of what the RAB set up
18	in the bylaws. There isn't any
19	specified amount of time that the
20	RAB has to meet.
21	MR. MILLER: I think it would
22	remain for another day when we have
23	more members here, but I think

1	there's a more efficient way to use
2	our time than, I think, coming here
3	every month and sitting here and
4	listening to you guys tell us what
5	you did is frankly not getting us
6	anywhere. I think we could meet
7	less and accomplish more. Just one
8	guy's opinion.
9	MR. HARVEY: I disagree with
10	that. There are the things that
11	the TRC is not here, they didn't
12	meet. We were assuming that they
13	wanted to do something. We've got
14	Ron Grant supporting with lots of
15	hours left.
16	MR. MILLER: Right. And I
17	understand we're wasting
18	MR. HARVEY: We're ready, you
19	know, if you want a briefing. Were
20	you here Jim? I wasn't.
21	MR. MILLER: I think I was.
22	MR. HARVEY: PSRS, all that
23	sort of things.

1	MR. MILLER: Right.
2	MR. HARVEY: If there are any
3	briefing requirements and we know
4	the month before we put stuff
5	together.
6	MR. MILLER: And I think you
7	guys are doing a good job, and I
8	don't mean to be critical.
9	MR. HARVEY: I'm not trying
10	to be
11	MR. MILLER: We can't
12	absorb I mean, this group, a
13	bunch of laymen, can't absorb what
14	you're doing and what your briefing
15	us on in a month. I think we'd be
16	better off if we met bi-monthly or
17	quarterly. It would be a little
18	more thorough and have a background
19	and were better prepared than we are
20	coming here and meeting. And most
21	of us, frankly, don't know what the
22	heck is going on.
23	MR. HARVEY: Right.

1	MR. MILLER: I mean, that's
2	something I put out to the other
3	members to consider. You know we
4	would do a little bit better job
5	preparing and just get together less
6	and maybe a little earlier in the
7	afternoon too.
8	MR. HARVEY: Do you like less
9	technical and more summary type
LO	stuff.
11	MR. MILLER: Yeah, really.
12	I mean, you know, I was sitting here
L3	wondering a few minutes ago what
L4	"clearance to depth" meant. Now, I
L5	don't want to slow the meeting down.
L6	But, you know, y'all use a lot of
L7	acronyms and a lot of terms that we,
L8	frankly, don't have a clue as to
L9	what you're talking about. And that
20	may be the design, and if it is,
21	that's okay.
22	MR. HARVEY: The army is bad
23	on that.

1	MR. MILLER: That's y'all's
2	culture.
3	MR. HARVEY: But we can do
4	different when you heard them up
5	there, you heard PBC, public
6	benefits conveyance.
7	MR. MILLER: Well, I
8	understand that one.
9	MR. HARVEY: You understand
10	that one. But that's something we
11	do all the time that we need to stop
12	doing when we're talking with
13	civilians.
14	MR. MILLER: Well, frankly,
15	from my point, I'd like the see you
16	dumb it down just a little bit. You
17	won't be insulting me if you explain
18	those terms. I'm a late member of
19	the RAB, but I'd rather make this
20	worthwhile and have some
21	constructive input than just to show
22	up out here and waste you
23	gentlemen's time. Your time is

1	valuable. And these guys come up
2	here from ADEM, and they're away
3	from home overnight and the same
4	thing from EPA. And we're just
5	wasting people's time. Frankly,
6	that's my opinion, and, you know,
7	everybody's got one.
8	MR. HARVEY: Any other ideas?
9	I'm not defensive.
10	MS. BRAGG: Was that a
11	question for the agenda for the next
12	meeting?
13	MR. MILLER: Yeah. Mr.
14	Chairman, would you consider that
15	that be added as an agenda items for
16	a future meeting that we look at
17	fewer meetings and possibly higher
18	quality meetings. And I'll commit
19	that I'll work harder to get up to
20	speed on the issues if I have a
21	little more time in between meetings
22	to do that.
23	DR. STEFFY: Hopefully, we'll

1	get a quorum next time, next month.
2	MR. HARVEY: We probably need
3	to bring some of the stuff together,
4	Jim. Because we've got Ron, who is
5	totally qualified. In fact, he was
6	the director or risk management,
7	wasn't it, of the depo.
8	MR. GRANT: Right.
9	MR. HARVEY: He knows all
10	this stuff and he can probably
11	MR. MILLER: Well, what I
12	would actually like to see is on the
13	meeting night and y'all tell me
14	if y'all think is lame brained is
15	for us of the thirty or forty
16	minutes maybe starting at five
17	thirty, where the RAB meets with
18	Ron, and we discuss these technical
19	issues and get him to educate us on
20	some of these issues. We don't know
21	enough to even know what kind of
22	stuff we need for him to review.
23	And it's wasting his time and it's

1	wasting our time. So you know, if
2	we could have a few minutes alone
3	with him. Not that you guys are a
4	problem. So we can ask him
5	questions and not be embarrassed
6	about being ignorant and see if we
7	can do something to help this be a
8	little more constructive.
9	MR. DOYLE: If I can just
10	interject here. To a certain
11	degree, and please don't take this
12	wrong. You know, the old saying is
13	you can lead a horse to water, but
14	you can't make him drink.
15	MR. MILLER: Yeah.
16	MR. DOYLE: And I kind of
17	feel and I've stated this as the
18	site manager back four months ago
19	when I first took over, that very
20	first meeting when we set up the
21	grants program through EPA. I
22	forget the gentleman's name. And I
23	told you it was a fast moving train

1	In fact, I made some suggestions
2	that probably first one of the
3	things was the FOSET was to really
4	transfer itself and the whole
5	concept. I knew that the likelihood
6	was great that that document would
7	be in place come 1 October, and sure
8	enough it was. I throw that out as
9	a suggestion. The problem is that,
10	from my perspective, is that
11	Mr. Grant was there to review those
12	documents associated with the FOSET
13	and as well as the other programs
14	through EPA.
15	MR. MILLER: Yeah. But he
16	never got any direction to do that.
17	MR. DOYLE: No direction was
18	made
19	MR. MILLER: Well, if you'll
20	forgive me for being a little late
21	waking up, I think I understand what
22	you're saying now.
23	MR. DOYLE: And my

T	perspective is that all the tools
2	are in place. And it's a matter of
3	the RAB needs to grab hold of those
4	reins.
5	MR. MILLER: I see the
6	picture here. I mean, I think you
7	guys are doing the job. Y'all are
8	doing a great job. The RAB is
9	sitting on it's keester and doing
10	nothing. And all I'm saying is is
11	my time is valuable and either the
12	RAB needs to do something, or we
13	need to go to the house and forget
14	about it. I just don't believe in
15	coming and having meetings and
16	wasting your time and our time. And
17	in the start, it would be helpful if
18	people showed up.
19	MR. HARVEY: Let me offer a
20	suggestion, rather than five thirty,
21	and I'm just offering a suggestion.
22	Of course, I don't have a vote or
23	anything, is start at six thirty

1	next time. Let's give y'all the
2	first half hour or so or however
3	y'all want, forty-five minutes,
4	hour, or whatever that y'all meet
5	and hash this out as to what you
6	want to do with Ron here.
7	MR. MILLER: I'm fine with
8	that and six thirty is okay. I said
9	five thirty because six thirty seems
10	awful late in the day. I've gone
11	home and coming back. I had rather
12	tack it on to the end of my business
13	day and not have a trip home and
14	back, frankly. That's a personal
15	thing. It seems like an hour and a
16	half of wasted time to me. I mean,
17	for my part, we could meet at lunch.
18	MR. MCCARY: Does this need
19	to be put in form of a motion?
20	MR. MILLER: Well, we don't
21	have enough people here to vote on
22	it. I just wanted to plant the
23	seeds tonight for you guys who are

Т	here to be thinking about it and see
2	what fits you. But I really do
3	believe I just believe in being
4	productive. And as much as I want
5	to see the Fort cleaned up right, I
6	mean, I want to make sure the legacy
7	we get here is a good one. And my
8	purpose here and I don't think
9	anybody's purpose here is to be a
10	pain in the tail. We just want to
11	be sure that things are being done
12	right. And I think that's our role.
13	But right now, we're worthless.
14	DR. STEFFY: I don't think
15	we're worthless. My personal
16	opinion.
17	MR. MILLER: But I don't
18	think we're having a lot of impact
19	because we're not prepared and we're
20	not educated. I mean, I'm talking
21	for myself.
22	MS. BRAGG: I'm with you.
23	MR. MILLER: And I think the

1	only justification for our existence
2	is constructive criticism. And I
3	just don't feel like we're being
4	very constructive.
5	MR. STEFFY: Do you want to
6	add that to agenda next time?
7	MR. HARVEY: Yeah, we can do
8	that. Whatever you want us to do.
9	If you want to make it an agenda
10	item, we start with it. If you want
11	to start the meeting early with just
12	y'all. Whatever you want to do, we
13	can do that.
14	MR. MILLER: So would those
15	present agree to that, just taking
16	thirty minutes and meeting with Ron
17	and just reviewing the issues and
18	getting input from him. I mean,
19	that is, after all, what he's being
20	paid for, and I'm sure he would be
21	more than happy to do it. Ron, I
22	assume that would be the case.
23	MR. GRANT: Sure.

1	MR. MILLER: And let him earn
2	what he's getting, number one. And
3	us get a little bit up to speed. If
4	that's within everybody's pleasure,
5	then I would move that we do that
6	whether it be official or not.
7	DR. STEFFY: That's worth a
8	try.
9	MR. MILLER: We've got to do
LO	something.
L1	MR. HARVEY: If it's not
L2	enough, you can just say, stay out,
L3	we're not done yet. We declare the
L4	first half hour for the RAB and Ron
L5	to plan how you want to use the TRC
L6	and all this other good stuff and
L7	use some of his hours.
L8	MR. MILLER: Right.
L9	MR. HARVEY: And of course,
20	let us know what it is we need to
21	do. And I understand your part.
22	MR. MILLER: And I think
23	y'all are doing your job. We're the

1	ones that's not doing the job. I
2	hope you understand that.
3	MR. HARVEY: Yeah. But we're
4	supposed to help you.
5	MR. MILLER: Well, you've
6	tried.
7	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I need to
8	ask, are y'all going to do this at
9	five thirty? Because I'll have to
10	send it out. If you do it at five
11	thirty, it won't change you know,
12	you don't have to vote on that, I
13	guess you could just
14	MR. MILLER: Show up.
15	MS. CUNNINGHAM: am I
16	right? You could just do it as RAB
17	members. And I could let everybody
18	know if they want to participate in
19	this discussion to be here at five
20	thirty.
21	MR. MILLER: Yeah. Tell them
22	if they want input to show up;
23	otherwise we're going to make the

Τ	decisions.
2	MS. CUNNINGHAM: But you
3	can't vote on it. But you could do
4	that. Am I right, Joe?
5	MR. DOYLE: Yes. It's a
6	working group.
7	MS. CUNNINGHAM: You can do
8	that.
9	MR. HARVEY: If you want us
10	do that, we'll do that.
11	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Five thirty.
12	MR. HARVEY: Do we hear the
13	vice-chair say that?
14	DR. STEFFY: Yes. I guess
15	so. That sounds good.
16	MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank
17	you.
18	DR. STEFFY: Upcoming
19	programs. I don't know what this is
20	about.
21	MR. LEVY: It's also on my
22	input agenda. We need input: What
23	is it you want to know about; what

1	is it you're	concerned about; what
2	would you lik	e us focus on? I mean,
3	that's what w	e need to hear. I know
4	it's tough.	Some of you are not
5	sure what you	think we should focus
6	on.	
7	MR. DO	YLE: There's another
8	component to	that now. It's not
9	just what you	want to hear from the
10	army. The ot	her component of that
11	is what you w	ant to hear from the
12	JPA vis-a-vis	they're cleanup
13	responsibilit	ies.
14	DR. ST	EFFY: It seems to me
15	they should b	e a major part of this
16	now.	
17	MR. DO	YLE: That's correct.
18	MR. MI	LLER: And there is an
19	issue in that	about whether or not
20	the JPA is go	ing to play. Are they
21	going to part	icipate and use the RAB
22	or are they g	oing to start in their
23	own direction	?

1	MR. DOYLE: That's under the
2	ESCA. Even though the army is
3	carrying the burden for this coming
4	fiscal year, there is a component to
5	that that the JPA participate in and
6	using the RAB in the same vehicle
7	that the army uses in putting
8	information out there. And then
9	answering questions that the RAB has
LO	in regards, what are the
11	environmental issues, what is the
12	cleanup, whatever it might be.
L3	MR. LEVY: Their cleanup
L4	agreement with ADEM says that they
L5	will, in fact, do that. It says
L6	they have a responsibility.
L7	MR. HARVEY: They have a
18	responsibility to keep the public
L9	informed. And it maybe something
20	y'all want to talk to us. We'll
21	tell you what the ESCA says, what
22	everything says. And then y'all
23	talk to the JPA and say you want a

1	briefing every month about what's
2	going on and JPA, what are your
3	plans as to phase two negotiations.
4	And this is the place to do that.
5	MR. MCCARY: I'd like the
6	know what the JPA is.
7	MR. HARVEY: Excuse me?
8	MR. MCCARY: Who are they?
9	MR. HARVEY: They are you
10	local community representatives.
11	MR. MCCRARY: Is it a
12	council? Is my neighbors?
13	MR. HARVEY: It's the local
14	redevelopment authority review board
15	controlled by the locals to manage
16	the redevelopment of Fort McClellan.
17	MR. MILLER: And they have a
18	staff. They have a director and at
19	least three others that I know of.
20	MR. HARVEY: Yes. They have
21	a staff.
22	MR. MCCARY: Do they send a
23	representative?

1	MR. LEVY: Actually, on this
2	board themselves, there's two voting
3	members that are actually a part of
4	the JPA, Pete Conroy and Ed
5	Kimbrough. And then their staff
6	member, Mickey Snyder, who is not a
7	board member but is part of their
8	staff and works at the environmental
9	(inaudible)
10	MR. MITCHELL: I would like
11	to speak up and make one comment.
12	Not to defend the TRC for anything.
13	But as I recall, the TRC brought
14	this up in the past about draft
15	documents not being visible by
16	anybody here. I mean, how do you
17	approach that? If the documents go
18	directly to ADEM and EPA. And you
19	know, they discussed this as I
20	recall a few months back. How does
21	the RAB see these documents when
22	they are waiting for comments from
23	EPA and ADEM? And I'm not blaming

1	you, Phillip, I mean, I'm not
2	pointing a finger. But somebody has
3	got to know where these documents
4	are and where they're at in relation
5	to the RAB meetings as far as
6	waiting for comment to come back
7	from ADEM or EPA. And we don't know
8	that. And that was a major point
9	that was brought up before.
10	MR. LEVY: We have been
11	handing these out.
12	MR. MITCHELL: Right. But
13	there are also draft documents that
14	have gone to ADEM and EPA.
15	MR. LEVY: But we told you
16	that any documents that's not on
17	this list, we'll provide to the TRC
18	or the RAB members on request.
19	MR. MITCHELL: Okay.
20	MR. LEVY: So I mean, it's
21	just a matter of asking for them.
22	Even a draft, the army agreed that
23	we will provide you with any

1	documents and drafts that's at ADEM.
2	So there shouldn't be any question
3	as to whether a document is being
4	just reviewed at the regulatory
5	level or whatnot.
6	MR. MILLER: And we could
7	have that outlined when we meet with
8	Ron so we can go through that and
9	look that over. I can understand
10	that because obviously you would
11	have an eighteen-wheeler full of
12	paper if we copied all that.
13	MR. LEVY: The only thing I
14	don't want to do is like you said,
15	is not give every single RAB member
16	a copy of the documents.
17	MR. MITCHELL: I understand
18	that.
19	MR. LEVY: Let's be selective
20	because it's very costly to produce
21	them.
22	MR. MILLER: Right. And we
23	don't want waste your time.

1	MR. MITCHELL: But about the
2	documents that we were discussing
3	that are going to ADEM and EPA, just
4	for example, on your front sheet,
5	the front page, it's got "draft
6	investigation report for the former
7	decon site, status and comments from
8	Devin Bevel."
9	MR. STROUD: Yes.
10	MR. MITCHELL: And they are
11	waiting on comments from him. Is
12	that the way I understand that?
13	MR. STROUD: Waiting on
14	comments from EPA?
15	MR. MITCHELL: No. From
16	or he had some comments on those
17	documents.
18	MR. STROUD: Yes.
19	MR. MITCHELL: But they are
20	not final yet?
21	MR. STROUD: These are final
22	and have been sent to the army.
23	MR. MITCHELL: Okay.

1	MR. STROUD: Yes. Again,
2	just to reiterate, if you were to
3	get these reports and do a, you
4	know, coming up with comments, my
5	line is wide open. And I would
6	really encourage, you know, any kind
7	of camaraderie. I enjoy getting
8	comments from the public.
9	DR. STEFFY: So it would be
10	the function of the TRC to go
11	through this and pick out the
12	important documents to review? Is
13	that the idea?
14	MR. STROUD: Actually, I
15	think you mentioned this is a
16	MR. LEVY: This is document
17	status check.
18	MR. STROUD: This is the one
19	you want to pay attention to. This
20	is what comes out these are the
21	ones that we've just responded on.
22	We haven't responded to all of them
23	here. So if you pick out ahead

1	MR. LEVY: And I apologize.
2	We should have provided handouts for
3	this meeting.
4	MR. MILLER: I apologize. I
5	wouldn't have looked at it if you
6	had.
7	MR. LEVY: It's available for
8	you, and we can send that
9	electronically too to a request for
LO	any document on there.
L1	MR. HARVEY: Let me offer a
12	suggestion as far as that stuff.
L3	Ron, maybe you need to get with us
L4	and see whatever you think you need
L5	to get ready for their meeting. And
L6	maybe we ought to show you one of
L7	these and show you some of the other
L8	documents we have and let you kind
L9	of help with the framework.
20	MR. GRANT: Getting ready for
21	me is not going to be easy for me
22	because I think, as Jim pointed out,
23	one the problems that the RAB seems

1	to have is not knowing what to ask.
2	So when we meet, you know, if I
3	want to do any kind of preparations
4	for the meeting without a clue what
5	your concerns are, I'm going to have
6	a hard time getting ready for that.
7	MR. MILLER: Yeah. And I
8	don't know that you can prepare for
9	that, Ron, in all fairness. I think
10	that's something that's going to be
11	kind of free-wheeling. We're going
12	to have to pick up and go as best we
13	can because I think what's
14	inhibiting this group is the
15	formality of this meeting and being
16	a little bit reluctant to show our
17	ignorance and get educated on these
18	things. And that's what we're
19	asking you to do. You may have to
20	field a lot of questions that
21	aren't' strictly related to those
22	documents, but you know, we've got
23	to find some ground to stand on here

1	so that we can be more effective. I
2	don't think anybody on RAB really
3	knows what the heck is going on.
4	MR. HARVEY: Well, Ron, my
5	suggestion for the first time with
6	the work session is make you aware
7	of what the various spreadsheets we
8	do have so you can better talk
9	during the work session and see what
10	they may want and not try to come up
11	with all the answers for next
12	meeting, but show you what we have,
13	not every document. But we have
14	spreadsheets we've got
15	spreadsheets from hell. We we've
16	got spreadsheets that tell you how
17	long your toenails are. And that
18	one you don't need. But if we show
19	you what we have, and then you'll
20	know when you're in the work session
21	with them, yeah, that's available.
22	I'm not trying to plan your first
23	meeting for you but just give you

1	some more background.
2	MR. LEVY: Maybe, you could
3	prioritize the seriousness of these
4	reports for us, which ones you think
5	are important for us to even
6	consider making available.
7	MR. GRANT: I'm wondering
8	I see Ron looking at me. You've got
9	to keep in mind I have a contract
10	that has a very limited scope. And
11	if you're not careful here, you're
12	going to have me working in an area
13	that I'm not really supposed to be
14	in.
15	MR. LEVY: You've got two
16	resources. It's not really Ron's
17	function to tell you what you should
18	be concerned with. You should be
19	saying: This is what I'm concerned
20	with. After having looked at some
21	of this stuff and say, I'd like to
22	hear Ron review this and come back
23	and tell us what it means. And

Τ	that s rearry what he s supposed to
2	hear. He's there for technical
3	assistance. And it's really meant
4	to be able to explain to you in
5	layman's terms what a document says
6	what it means.
7	DR. STEFFY: Was that the
8	person from EPA that we have a
9	contract with his purpose.
LO	MR. LEVY: Yeah. It's also
L1	his purpose.
L2	MR. MILLER: And is that
L3	strictly limited to documents, or
L4	can it be a concept? For instance,
15	I'm thinking that if we elect these
L6	new RAB members at next many
L7	meeting, it would seem certainly to
L8	me that we ought to have a RAB 101
L9	for those new members. Whether
20	Ron's the responsible guy for that
21	or you are, you know, I've been on
22	this thing now for going on a year,
23	and I stay lost all the time. I can

T	imagine what four new people coming
2	in are going to be like.
3	MR. GRANT: And I agree. I
4	understand what you're going
5	through. I was on the RAB at the
6	depot, you know, for its, when we
7	had a TRC, which we had a TRC at the
8	depot before we had a RAB. And when
9	it was established and I was an
10	integral part of all that. And you
11	ask people to volunteer to be on the
12	RAB, and they come in here and all
13	of a sudden because the RAB votes,
14	they're a RAB member. Okay. So
15	what? You know, they have no clue
16	what does a RAB member do? There is
17	no training course. And all of a
18	sudden you're an expert in RABs.
19	MR. MILLER: And the thing
20	about the depot to me, and I served
21	on both, is the problems at the
22	depot are a little more discrete. I
23	mean, they are little more defined.

1	They are a little bit easier to get
2	your arm around. From my
3	standpoint, I know exactly, you
4	know, what some of the major
5	problems are.
6	But here, you know, you're
7	talking about different actions in
8	different areas. It's like a
9	scatter gun approach. I mean, you
10	guys deal with this everyday, day in
11	and day out. We've got, you know,
12	probably, the average guy here
13	spends fifteen minutes and preparing
14	to come to this meeting. And to me,
15	that's what Ron is all about. If
16	you just do it from a common sense
17	standpoint, it's helping wade
18	through all that technical stuff and
19	get to the real meat of the issues.
20	MR. STROUD: I have had RAB
21	members call me in the past at ADEM
22	and they asked me what reports
23	should they be looking at, which

1	ones are you seriously concerned
2	about. And naturally, I would turn
3	to more of the complex reports
4	versus just a site investigation
5	with five wells out there or
6	something. So I've had some pretty
7	intelligent questions come at me
8	from the RAB. I know it can be done
9	amongst each other. And I'll be
10	glad to tell you which ones I'm most
11	concerned about.
12	MR. MILLER: And I hope
13	everybody will understand that what
14	I'm trying to do is be more helpful.
15	And I just don't feel like I'm able
16	to contribute very much right now
17	because I don't understand what the
18	heck y'all are talking about.
19	MR. LEVY: I totally agree
20	with you.
21	MR. HARVEY: From the common
22	sense part, if Ron's not with them
23	at the start of the first work

Τ.	session, then what s the point of
2	having them review documents or do
3	anything if you can't be there and
4	understand their concerns. I would
5	think it fits to
6	MR. MILLER: Well, that seems
7	to me to be kind of a no brainer.
8	MR. GRANT: I'm willing to
9	make a stab at it.
10	MR. MILLER: We're not going
11	to crucify you if we're not experts
12	in thirty minutes. I feel like we
13	ought to give something a try.
14	We're wasting everybody's time.
15	DR. STEFFY: We've got some
16	agenda items for next time. And
17	hopefully, people from the TRC and
18	TOSC will be here. Upcoming
19	programs.
20	MR. HARVEY: That's what we
21	were doing. I think we got a jump
22	start or something.
23	MR. GRANT: And Ron, if

1	you'll just look at your calendar
2	and tell me when you can spare me
3	some time, I'll come out and gather
4	together some of the background
5	information you've got and use it to
6	try to put together something that I
7	think that I can kind of initiate
8	some dialogue at the work session.
9	MR. MILLER: I don't know how
10	Dwight feels about it, but I would
11	think one of the things that would
12	be kind of interesting in the group
13	is just to kind of review the
14	reports of the off-site migration of
15	BLCs out of the landfills. And not
16	to reopen that whole issue. But
17	there are some issues there that I
18	would like to know. How far and how
19	fast would be two good questions.
20	DR. STEFFY: Any other
21	comments?
22	MR. FRANKLIN: A few minutes
23	ago it was mentioned dumbing down a

Ţ	little bit with the acronyms and, of
2	course, I'm sure all of us here know
3	all of these acronyms. But we've
4	got new people coming on the board.
5	Would it be asking too much to put
6	as the last sheet on our handouts
7	like this; a dictionary or
8	interpretation of the acronyms? It
9	wouldn't have to change every
10	meeting. Just one time.
11	MR. STROUD: I have a suggest
12	using what we use in all our
13	reports. It's a three or four page
14	comprehensive list of acronyms. I
15	mean, it's a pretty special list.
16	And I would highly recommend let's
17	grab one of those, use it to make
18	enough copies for everybody. I go
19	to it still today.
20	MR. FRANKLIN: We notice
21	people's eyes glaze over at some of
22	these presentations. And they're
23	very good, and I know that y'all are

1	used to working with them all the
2	time. It loses a little something
3	when you don't understand the
4	language. It's just a suggestion
5	for the new members coming on.
6	MR. HARVEY: We do have a
7	method in the army and use can see
8	it even with at ADEM's comments
9	where he has "findings of
10	suitability to transfer," he then
11	has it FOST, which is the acronym.
12	Each time you use it or the first
13	time in any kind of document, you're
14	supposed to use that in brackets.
15	MR. MILLER: Yeah. I think
16	probably the first time y'all used
17	it was about ten years ago.
18	MR. HARVEY: The first time
19	it's stated any time.
20	MR. MILLER: I know what
21	you're saying. I'm sorry. I was
22	being a little bit facetious. I
23	know that is the proper form. It's

1	hard for us to keep going back and
2	finding it in the document to remind
3	ourselves what it is. I think the
4	glossary idea is an excellent idea.
5	It would be very helpful. I know
6	y'all don't need nothing else to do
7	and I wouldn't ask, but I just think
8	it would be helpful.
9	MR. LEVY: We've already got
10	one. I can tack it on to that list.
11	DR. STEFFY: Give it to
12	everybody.
13	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I can e-mail
14	it or put it in the packets or I can
15	do both.
16	DR. STEFFY: I would do both.
17	MR. MILLER: It's just
18	convenient.
19	DR. STEFFY: Let's open it up
20	for audience comments. Are there
21	issues?
22	MR. BASS: I have a question.
23	I just purchased a new home out here

1	on Fort McClellan on Avery Drive. I
2	understand that there's a dump up
3	the street from it. Is that just a
4	construction dump that they're
5	talking about where some houses were
6	built up on the other end of Avery
7	Drive? It's my understanding they
8	are going to have to remove three
9	houses because they can't build on
10	it anymore because there is some
11	kind of dump in that upper area.
12	MR. LEVY: I can answer that.
13	MR. STROUD: Landfill One.
14	MR. LEVY: I'm sorry, sir.
15	What's your name?
16	MR. BASS: Bass.
17	MR. LEVY: It shows up in
18	this spot right here. (Indicating.)
19	This is where the housing is in
20	here. Historically, it was the
21	installation's first sanitary
22	landfill. And when we started
23	investigating sites up there or

1	started investigating all the fill
2	areas up there, we noticed one of
3	the houses, which when it was
4	originally built, probably didn't
5	realize that the foundation
6	MR. BASS: Foundation is all
7	cracked.
8	MR. LEVY: So from a safety
9	measure, the property is being
10	restricted and that house is going
11	to be demoed.
12	MR. BASS: Yeah. I
13	understand the first three are. But
14	basically what was in that?
15	MR. LEVY: It was sanitary
16	waste from the installation. We've
17	completed an investigation up there.
18	To make it short and simple, we
19	really have not found anything. And
20	there are documents if you're
21	interested in seeing it at the
22	public library that you can read
23	about the history and the sampling

1	that we've done up there, what we
2	discovered or not discovered at the
3	site.
4	DR. STEFFY: Is there any gas
5	emission to worry about?
6	MR. LEVY: No. We've also
7	done some landfill gas up there as
8	well. In fact, that was recent
9	reports or what ADEM desired and the
10	EPA wanted. So we're not seeing any
11	methane issues.
12	MR. HARVEY: There's no
13	methane at all.
14	MR. LEVY: Now, in fact, the
15	age of that land, you wouldn't have
16	seen it this late.
17	MR. BASS: Early '60s or late
18	'50s.
19	MR. LEVY: What were the
20	dates on it, Bill?
21	MR. SHANKS: '40s.
22	MR. STROUD: I've actually
23	seen some of the material when they

1	were excavating. And pretty much
2	all that's left are bottles and
3	maybe some metal tin cans. It's
4	hard to find any kind of paper.
5	DR. STEFFY: Is it partly
6	capped? No?
7	MR. LEVY: It's part of our
8	ESCA agreement. The JPA has some
9	money to do some cover work up there
10	and put some monuments around it.
11	And it will be restricted property,
12	so it can't be built on. But there
13	isn't an infiltration problem
14	because the groundwater is clean
15	that's underneath it.
16	MR. BASS: That's what I was
17	looking for, to see what was
18	initially put there because I
19	learned about it being a landfill.
20	And owning a home just down the
21	street, I was
22	DR. STEFFY: So you don't
23	have any concerns with that.

1	MR. LEVY: Not from an
2	exposure standpoint. You certainly
3	wouldn't want anybody building on
4	top of it.
5	MR. GRANT: Ron may want to
6	shoot me because of these comments
7	here because I may be out of order
8	saying this. But those are the kind
9	of questions that the RAB needs to
LO	be curious about because one thing
11	you need to know is in 1940 when
12	this landfill was in operation,
L3	there were not hazardous waste
L4	regulations. And I'm not even sure
L5	if there were any solid waste
L6	regulations at that point in time.
L7	So it's really important then what
L8	you look for when you do that
L9	investigation at a site like that
20	because you know there weren't a lot
21	of rules governing how it was
22	managed at that point in time. So
23	those are key issues that the RAB

1	needs to understand and give you
2	some focus for. Okay, what kind of
3	investigation are you to do? How
4	are you going to prove to me the
5	kind of things that went in there
6	and what's still there? You know,
7	that gives you an avenue of
8	questioning and the kind of things
9	you want studied.
10	MR. BASS: That was one of
11	the reasons that I asked my question
12	because the gentleman who brought it
13	up to me was on Fort McClellan. And
14	he would bring it up that that
15	always used to be a waste dump out
16	there. The contents of it was
17	unknown and that's basically why I
18	asked the question.
19	MR. HARVEY: Well, we can
20	probably answer all those you have.
21	You heard parts of it. And Jim just
22	wants it not in milligrams minutes
23	per cubic meters, he wants it in

1	just plain English.
2	MR. MILLER: Exactly.
3	DR. STEFFY: Any other
4	comments from the audience?
5	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I need to
6	let everybody know that Monty's
7	father passed away yesterday.
8	That's why he is not here tonight.
9	He is on his way to Oklahoma to be
10	with his family. And also, Scott
11	Beckett has turned in his
12	resignation to the RAB and your
13	co-chairs have signed a letter
14	thanking him for his services.
15	That's it.
16	MR. STEFFY: Meeting is
17	adjourned.
18	
19	(Whereupon the meeting was adjourned
20	at 7:45 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF ALABAMA)
5	ETOWAH COUNTY)
6	
7	
8	I, DEBORAH L. BANKS, a Court Reporter and Notary
9	Public in and for the State at Large, duly commissioned and
10	qualified, HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken
11	before me, then was reduced by me to shorthand, afterwards
12	transcribed by means of computer-aided transcription, and
13	that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript
14	of the proceeding to the best of my ability.
15	
16	
17	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither of counsel,
18	nor of relation to the parties to the action, nor am I
19	anywise interested in the result of said case.
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2	and affixed my seal at Gadsden, Alabama, on this the 28th
3	day of October 2003.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	DEBORAH BANKS
9	SHORTHAND REPORTER AND
10	NOTARY PUBLIC ALABAMA-AT-LARGE
11	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 10-12-07
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	